

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,061	03/02/2004	Jaime Simon	42801C	2855
109 The Dow Cher	7590 08/27/200 nical Company	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Pro	ntellectual Property Section FISHER, ABIGAIL L			
P.O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
minimum, mi	0011 1507		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,061	SIMON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ABIGAIL FISHER	1616		
ADIOAILTIONEIX	1010		

	ABIGAIL FISHER	1616	ĺ
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07; Extensions of time may be oblished under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, en	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1616	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amendments are eneterd, however they do not overcome the rejections. Regarding the rejections made under 35 USC 101 and 112 2nd paragraph, applicant reply and amendments have not overcome the rejection. The claim as written is still a use claim. Proper US practice for method claims, if that is what applicants are attempting to claim, recite a method of,... Therefore, the rejections are still maintained as the claims are differed to use claims.

Regarding the 103 rejection, firstly the examiner would like to acknowledge applicants argument regarding the respective chemistries presented. While allylamine would not produce the alkyl amines of Rogers, WO '184 specifically teaches that the formula (see page 4 of the document) includes trisubstituted N wherein the substitution is H (allyl amine) or lower alkyl. As can be seen from the figure on page 4. the extending side chain is CH2NR3 which is the same as Rogers. Applicants argue that the crosslinking with epichlorohydrin is optional. however it is specifically exemplified with various polymers. Additionally, there are two different methods of crosslinking taught, one in which the crosslinker is added during the polymerization or one where it is reacted with the polymer after the polymer has formed. Additionally, the crosslinker is present in an amount from 0.5 to 75% (page 9). Therefore, the polymer can be made from mostly the crosslinker and when utilized during the polymerization would also be expected to polymerize. The instant specification does not claim any specific orientation in terms of location or points of attachment of the two different "monomers" only that the polymer backbone comprises a polyether glycol polymer (such as polyepichlorohydrin) and has a moiety which is cationic at physiological pH. This wouldn't exclude other monomers from being present or a polymer made from monomers of epichlorohydrin and monomer(s) comprising an amine. Therefore, the polymers taught in both Rogers and WO '184 would read on the claimed polymers. Since WO'184 teaches that polymers possessing quaternary amine moieties are effective for the removal of phosphates, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that polymers comprising quaternary amine moieties (such as those taught in Rogers) would also be effective in phosphate removal. Applicants argue that the invention utilizes chelation. It appears applicants believe that the recitation in the instant claims of complexation would claim this. However, the instant specification indicates that chelants are a type of complexing agent (page 5 of instant specification). Therefore, they would be a species of complexation. An ionic interaction would also be a complexation and would read on the instant claims. Secondly, the instant claims recite a moiety on the backbone of the polymer. This means as long as one amine is present, it would read on the instantly claimed structure. However, applicants have aroued that in order for chelation to occur two or more amines would be required. However, this limitation is not found in the claims.